

## CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS AND GENERIC HODGE GROUPS

JAN CHRISTIAN ROHDE

ABSTRACT. We study the generic Hodge groups  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  of local universal deformations  $\mathcal{X}$  of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds with onedimensional complex moduli, give a complete list of all possible choices for  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and determine the latter real groups for known examples.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a Calabi-Yau 3-manifold with  $h^{2,1}(X) = 1$ . Moreover let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  denote the local universal deformation of  $X$  and  $Q$  denote the symplectic form on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})$  given by the cup product. In the generic Hodge group  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  information about the arithmetic of the fibers, the variation of Hodge structures and the monodromy groups of the families containing  $X$  as fiber is encoded. Here we classify the possible generic Hodge groups of  $\mathcal{X}$ , which is also a natural problem by itself.

In the case of a Calabi-Yau 3-manifold with  $h^{2,1}(X) = 1$  we consider a Hodge structure on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is a vector space of dimension 4. We have much information about the variation of Hodge structures (*VHS*) of families of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds. For example by Bryant, Griffiths [2], we have a classical description of the *VHS* of such families. By using the Hodge structure on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , one can construct the associated Weil- and the Griffiths intermediate Jacobians and their corresponding Hodge structures as introduced by C. Borcea [1]. These latter Hodge structures are given by the representations  $h_W$  and  $h_G$  of the circle group  $S^1$  on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . In particular the centralizers  $C(h_G(i))$  and  $C(h_W(i))$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  will be helpful. By using these techniques, the theory of bounded symmetric domains [6], the theory of Shimura varieties [3], [4], [7], [9] and some intricate computations, we obtain the result:

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  denote the local universal deformation of a Calabi-Yau 3-manifold  $X$  with  $h^{2,1}(X) = 1$ . Then one of the following cases holds true:*

(1)

$$\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q)$$

(2)

$$\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$$

(3) *The Lie algebra of  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is given by*

$$\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 3i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{x} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & ix & 0 \\ 0 & -i\bar{x} & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

for some  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|x| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ .

At present there does not exist any example of a family of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds known to the author, which has a generic Hodge group satisfying (3). Nevertheless we will determine the generic Hodge groups of known examples of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds and see that there exists a Calabi-Yau like variation of Hodge structures satisfying (3).

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Facts and conventions	2
2. Computation of the adjoint Hodge group	7
3. The case of a onedimensional period domain	14
4. The third case	18
Acknowledgements	25
References	25

### 1. FACTS AND CONVENTIONS

Here a Calabi-Yau 3-manifold  $X$  is a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension 3 such that

$$H^{1,0}(X) = H^{2,0}(X) = 0 \text{ and } \omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X.$$

We will only study Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds  $X$  with  $h^{2,1}(X) = 1$  here. Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  denote the local universal deformation of  $X \cong \mathcal{X}_0$ , where  $0 \in B$ .

Moreover recall the algebraic groups

$$S^1 = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x, y]/x^2 + y^2 - 1) \text{ and } \mathbb{S} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[t, x, y]/t(x^2 + y^2) - 1),$$

where

$$S^1(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \cong \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}^*.$$

The group  $\mathbb{S}$  is the Deligne torus given by the Weil restriction  $R_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}_m)$  and  $S^1$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{S}$ . Let  $V$  be a real vector space. By the eigenspace decompositions of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to the characters  $z^p \bar{z}^q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  of  $\mathbb{S}$ , the real representations  $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  correspond to the Hodge structures on  $V$  (see [4], 1.1.1). If there is some fixed  $k$  such that all characters  $z^p \bar{z}^q$  with non-trivial associated eigenspace satisfy  $p + q = k$ , one says that the Hodge structure has weight  $k$ . There exists an embedding  $w : \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{R}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}$  given by

$$\mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}) \cong \{\text{diag}(a, a) \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})\} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The Hodge structure  $h$  has weight  $k$ , if and only if the weight homomorphism  $h \circ w$  satisfies

$$r \rightarrow \text{diag}(r^k, \dots, r^k) \quad (\forall r \in \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{R}))$$

(see [10], Remark 1.1.4). Hodge structures of some given weight  $k$  are determined by the restricted representation  $h|_{S^1}$ . For example the integral Hodge structure on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$  of weight 3 corresponds to the representation

$$h_X : S^1 \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R})), \quad h_X(z)v = z^p \bar{z}^q v \quad (\forall v \in H^{p,q}(X) \text{ with } p + q = 3).$$

We also denote  $h_X$  by  $h$  for short. The Hodge group  $\text{Hg}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), h) \subset \text{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}))$  is the smallest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group  $G \subset \text{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}))$  with  $h(S^1) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $B$  is contractible. Thus for each  $b \in B$  one has a canonical isomorphism

$$H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Q}) \cong R^3 f_*(\mathbb{Q})(B) \cong H^3(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathbb{Q}) = H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

By using this isomorphism, a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Q}))$  can be considered as a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}))$ . This allows to define an inclusion relation for the Hodge groups of the

several fibers, which we use now. The generic Hodge group  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  is given by the generic Hodge group of the rational variation of Hodge structures ( $VHS$ ) of weight 3 of  $\mathcal{X}$ . Recall that the generic Hodge group of a  $VHS$  is the maximum of the Hodge groups of all occurring Hodge structures. In an analogue way one can define the Mumford-Tate group  $\mathrm{MT}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), h)$  and the generic Mumford-Tate group  $\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})$  by using  $h(\mathbb{S})$  instead of  $h(S^1)$ . One has that  $\mathrm{MT}(H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Q}), h_b) = \mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})$  over the complement of countably many proper analytic subsets of the basis (follows from [9], 1.2). Since

$$\mathrm{Hg}(H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Q}), h) = (\mathrm{MT}(H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Q}), h) \cap \mathrm{SL}(H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Q})))^0$$

(see [10], Lemma 1.3.17), one has also that  $\mathrm{Hg}(H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{Q}), h_b) = \mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  over the complement of countably many proper analytic subsets of the basis.

**1.1.** We consider only algebraic groups over fields  $K$  of characteristic zero. A group  $G$  over  $K$  is a torus, if  $G_{\bar{K}} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{K}}^\ell$ . Moreover a group  $G$  is simple, if it does not contain any proper connected normal subgroup. We say that  $G$  is semisimple, if its maximal connected normal solvable subgroup is trivial.

A group  $G$  is reductive, if it is the almost direct product of a torus and a semisimple group. In this situation the torus can be given by the connected component of identity of the center  $Z(G)$  of  $G$  and the semisimple group can be given by the derived subgroup  $G^{\mathrm{der}}$  generated by the commutators (follows from [12], page 9).

Let  $\mathrm{ad}$  denote the adjoint representation. For a reductive group  $G$ , we have the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow Z(G) \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{\mathrm{ad}} \rightarrow 1$$

and the adjoint group  $G^{\mathrm{ad}}$  and  $G^{\mathrm{der}}$  are isogenous.

We say that a semisimple group is adjoint, if its center is trivial. It is a well-known fact that connected semisimple adjoint  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic groups are direct products of simple subgroups.

It is a well-known fact that for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group  $G$  the group  $G_{\mathbb{R}}^0$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Moreover

$$h(S^1) \subset \mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}^0 \text{ and } h(\mathbb{S}) \subset \mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}^0.$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X}), \quad \mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X}) \text{ and } \mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$$

are Zariski connected. Moreover Hodge groups and Mumford-Tate groups of polarized rational Hodge structures are reductive (for example see [10], Theorem 1.3.16 and Corollary 1.3.20). From this fact and the definition of reductive groups one concludes that

$$\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ and } \mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$$

are also Zariski connected.

By knowing the associated Lie groups of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued points, one can determine the isomorphism classes of some algebraic groups of our interest:

**Lemma 1.2.** *Assume that  $G$  and  $H$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic connected semisimple adjoint groups, where  $H(\mathbb{R})$  is a connected Lie group. Moreover let  $h : G(\mathbb{R})^+ \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})$  be an isomorphism of Lie groups. Then  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic as  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic groups.*

*Proof.* From the assumptions we conclude that there is an isomorphism  $dh_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$  are also semisimple as real Lie algebras and that for an arbitrary real Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$  one can define its adjoint Lie group  $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}')$  (see [6], **II.** §5). Due to the assumption that  $G$  and  $H$  are semisimple adjoint, the adjoint representation yields isomorphisms

$$G(\mathbb{C})^+ \cong \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \text{ and } H(\mathbb{C})^+ \cong \mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}).$$

Moreover for a real semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}'$  the connected component of identity of the Lie group given by the automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  coincides with  $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}')$  (see [6], **II**. Corollary 6.5). Thus one concludes that  $G(\mathbb{C})^+$  and  $H(\mathbb{C})^+$  are the connected components of identity of the Lie groups given by the automorphism groups of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Therefore one obtains a holomorphic isomorphism  $h_{\mathbb{C}} : G(\mathbb{C})^+ \rightarrow H(\mathbb{C})^+$ . By [12], **I**. Proposition 3.5, the semisimple Lie groups  $G(\mathbb{C})^+$  and  $H(\mathbb{C})^+$  are the groups of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued points of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic groups and the homomorphism  $h_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic regular map given by some polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $h_{\mathbb{C}}|_{G(\mathbb{R})^+}$  coincides with  $h : G(\mathbb{R})^+ \rightarrow H(\mathbb{R})$ , one concludes that  $\Im f_1, \dots, \Im f_k$  vanish on the Zariski closure of  $G(\mathbb{R})^+$ . The Zariski closure of  $G(\mathbb{R})^+$  is  $G$ , since we assume that  $G$  is Zariski connected. Thus the isomorphism  $h$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic.  $\square$

**1.3.** Let  $G$  be a connected  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic group and  $\theta$  be an involutive automorphism of  $G$ . We say that  $\theta$  is a Cartan involution, if the Lie subgroup

$$G^{\theta}(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in G(\mathbb{C}) \mid g = \theta(\bar{g})\}$$

of  $G(\mathbb{C})$  is compact. An  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic group  $G$  has a Cartan involution, if and only if  $G$  is reductive (see [10], Proposition 1.3.10). In the case of a compact connected  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic group  $K$  we have the Cartan involution  $\text{id}_K$  (see [10], Example 1.3.11). Thus all compact connected  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic groups are reductive.

The Griffiths intermediate Jacobian  $J_G$  resp., the Weil intermediate Jacobian  $J_W$  is the torus corresponding to the weight 1 Hodge structure given by

$$F_G^1(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) = F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) \text{ resp., } F_W^1(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) = H^{3,0}(X) \oplus H^{1,2}(X).^1$$

Let  $h_G : S^1 \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}))$  and  $h_W : S^1 \rightarrow \text{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}))$  denote the corresponding representations. It is a well-known fact that weight 1 Hodge structures correspond to complex structures. We will use the complex structures

$$h_G(i) \text{ and } h_W(i) = -h_X(i).$$

Moreover  $h_W(z)$  and  $h_G(z)$  commute and

$$h(z) = h_G^2(z)h_W(z).$$

Let  $Q$  denote the symplectic form on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})$  given by the cup product. For the rest of this article let us fix  $v_{p,3-p} \in H^{p,3-p}(X) \setminus \{0\}$  with

$$\bar{v}_{p,3-p} = v_{3-p,p} \text{ and } Q(iv_{3,0}, v_{0,3}) = Q(-iv_{2,1}, v_{1,2}) = 1.$$

There exist unique vectors satisfying these properties because of the well-known form of the polarization of  $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$  (see [14], 7.1.2) and the given Hodge numbers in our case. Thus our alternating form  $Q$  on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$  is given by

$$(1) \quad Q\left(\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \end{pmatrix}\right) = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,1}, v_{0,3}\}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that in [1] one has

$F_W^1(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) = H^{0,3}(X) \oplus H^{2,1}(X)$  instead of  $F_W^1(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) = H^{3,0}(X) \oplus H^{1,2}(X)$ .

But this is only a matter of the chosen conventions and personal preferences.

The reader can easily check that each  $M \in \mathrm{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}))$  is given by a matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & w_1 & \bar{w}_4 & \bar{v}_4 \\ v_2 & w_2 & \bar{w}_3 & \bar{v}_3 \\ v_3 & w_3 & \bar{w}_2 & \bar{v}_2 \\ v_4 & w_4 & \bar{w}_1 & \bar{v}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } v_1, \dots, v_4, w_1, \dots, w_4 \in \mathbb{C}$$

with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$  by using the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space isomorphism given by the trace map

$$F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad w \mapsto w + \bar{w}.$$

In a similar way one can easily check that the matrices with complex entries, which will occur in this paper, are in fact real.

**Remark 1.4.** The conjugation by elements of  $h_X(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\xi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{\xi}^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & a_{1,4} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & a_{2,4} \\ a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & a_{3,4} \\ a_{4,1} & a_{4,2} & a_{4,3} & a_{4,4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\xi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \xi^2 a_{1,2} & \xi^4 a_{1,3} & \xi^6 a_{1,4} \\ \bar{\xi}^2 a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \xi^2 a_{2,3} & \xi^4 a_{2,4} \\ \bar{\xi}^4 a_{3,1} & \bar{\xi}^2 a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & \bar{\xi}^2 a_{3,4} \\ \bar{\xi}^6 a_{4,1} & \bar{\xi}^4 a_{4,2} & \bar{\xi}^2 a_{4,3} & a_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$ . Moreover the conjugation by the elements of  $h_W(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$  is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\xi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & a_{1,4} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & a_{2,4} \\ a_{3,1} & a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & a_{3,4} \\ a_{4,1} & a_{4,2} & a_{4,3} & a_{4,4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\xi} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\xi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \xi^2 a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \xi^2 a_{1,4} \\ \bar{\xi}^2 a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \bar{\xi}^2 a_{2,3} & a_{2,4} \\ a_{3,1} & \xi^2 a_{3,2} & a_{3,3} & \xi^2 a_{3,4} \\ \bar{\xi}^2 a_{4,1} & a_{4,2} & \bar{\xi}^2 a_{4,3} & a_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Remark 1.5.** The centralizer  $C(h(S^1))$  of  $h(S^1)$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  is given by matrices  $\mathrm{diag}(\xi, \zeta, \bar{\zeta}, \bar{\xi})$  with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$  as one concludes by the description of the conjugation by elements of  $h(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$  in Remark 1.4. Moreover by explicit computations using (1), one concludes  $|\xi| = |\zeta| = 1$ . Thus  $C(h(S^1)) \cong S^1 \times S^1$ . The group of real symplectic automorphisms in  $C(h(S^1))$ , whose order is at most 4, is generated by  $\mathrm{diag}(1, i, -i, 1)$  and  $\mathrm{diag}(i, 1, 1, -i)$ . Thus  $C(h(S^1))$  contains only the complex structures

$$(2) \quad \pm h_W(i) = \pm \mathrm{diag}(i, -i, i, -i) \quad \text{and} \quad \pm h_G(i) = \pm \mathrm{diag}(i, i, -i, -i).$$

Moreover  $C(h(S^1))$  is generated by  $h_W(S^1)$  and  $h_G(S^1)$ . The kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$h_W(S^1) \times h_G(S^1) \rightarrow C(h)$$

obtained from multiplication is given by  $\{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$ .

Let  $C(h_G(i))$  and  $C(h_W(i))$  denote the respective centralizers of  $h_G(i)$  and  $h_W(i)$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ . The centralizer  $C(h(i))$  of  $h(i)$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  coincides with  $C(h_W(i))$ , since  $h_W(i) = -h(i)$ . Let  $H$  denote the Hermitian form

$$H = iQ(\cdot, \bar{\cdot}).$$

Since  $h(i)$  is a Hodge isometry of the real Hodge structure on  $H^3(X, \mathbb{R})$ , one concludes from the definition of  $H$  as in [10], Section 4.3 and [11], Lemma 3.4:

**Proposition 1.6.** *The group  $C(h_G(i))$  is given by  $\mathrm{diag}(M, \bar{M})$ , where*

$$M \in \mathrm{U}(F^2(X), H|_{F^2(X)})(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{U}(1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$$

and  $\bar{M}$  acts on  $\bar{F}^2(X)$ .

In an analogue way one concludes:<sup>2</sup>

**Proposition 1.7.** *The group  $C(h_W(i))$  is given by  $\text{diag}(M, \bar{M})$ , where*

$$M \in \text{U}(F^2(X), H|_{H^{3,0}(X) \oplus H^{1,2}(X)})(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{U}(2)(\mathbb{R})$$

and  $\bar{M}$  acts on  $H^{0,3}(X) \oplus H^{2,1}(X)$ .

Thus the unitary groups  $\text{U}(1, 1)$  and  $\text{U}(2)$  will be important:

**Remark 1.8.** One can describe  $\text{U}(1, 1)$  and  $\text{U}(2)$  explicitly. The special unitary group  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$  resp.,  $\text{SU}(2)$  is given by the matrices

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \text{ resp., } M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover the reductive group  $\text{U}(1, 1)$  resp.,  $\text{U}(2)$  is the almost direct product of the simple group  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$  resp.,  $\text{SU}(2)$  and its center isomorphic to  $S^1$ , where

$$\text{SU}(1, 1) \cap Z(\text{U}(1, 1)) \cong \{\pm 1\} \cong \text{SU}(2) \cap Z(\text{U}(2)).$$

We will need an explicit description of the Lie algebra of  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$ :

**Remark 1.9.** One has that

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SU}(1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$$

is unipotent, if and only if

$$2\Re(a) = \text{tr}(M_1) = 2.$$

Since each nontrivial unipotent  $M_1 \in \text{SU}(1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$  has only one Jordan block of length 2, one computes that

$$\log M_1 = M_1 - E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} i\Im(a) & b \\ \bar{b} & -i\Im(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields 2 linearly independent vectors of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$  given by  $\log(M_1) = M_1 - E_2$  for some unipotent  $M_1$ . By appending

$$\log(\text{diag}(a, \bar{a})) = \text{diag}(iy, -iy)$$

for  $|a| = 1$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , one obtains a basis of the 3-dimensional algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ . Thus for each  $N \in \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)(\mathbb{R})$  there are suitable  $u, v, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} iy & u + iv \\ u - iv & -iy \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Remark 1.10.** Since the centralizer  $C(h_G(i)) \cong \text{U}(1, 1)$  of  $h_G(i)$  is not compact, the conjugation by  $h_G(i)$  does not yield a Cartan involution of  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ .

**Lemma 1.11.** *The conjugation by  $h_W(i)$  and the conjugation by  $h_X(i)$  yield the same Cartan involutions on  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  resp.,  $\text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The conjugation by  $\text{ad}(h_W(i))$  yields a Cartan involution on  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the conjugation by a complex structure

$$J \in \text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with } Q(J \cdot, \cdot) > 0$$

yields a Cartan involution of  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  (see [7], page 67). Since  $Q(h_W(i) \cdot, \cdot) > 0$  as one can verify by using (1) and (2), the conjugation by  $h_W(i)$  yields a Cartan involution of  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)(\mathbb{R})$ . Due to the fact that  $h_W(i) \in \text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the conjugation by  $h_W(i)$

<sup>2</sup>It should be pretty clear to the experts that the conjugacy class of  $h_W(S^1)$  in  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q)$  yields the upper half plane  $\mathfrak{h}_2$ , which is also a way to conclude that  $C(h_W(i)) \cong \text{U}(2)$ .

yields a Cartan involution of the subgroup  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  (follows from [12], **I**. Theorem 4.2). Since  $h_W(i) = -h_X(i)$ , the conjugation by  $h_X(i)$  yields the same involution.

Due to the fact that the reductive group  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the almost direct product of  $Z(\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X}))^0$  and its derived group  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ , one concludes  $h_W(i) = J_C \cdot J_D$ , where  $J_C \in Z(\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X}))(\mathbb{C})^0$  and  $J_D \in \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} h_W(i)\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})h_W(i)^{-1} &= J_C J_D \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R}) J_D^{-1} J_C^{-1} = J_C J_C^{-1} J_D \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R}) J_D^{-1} \\ &= J_D \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R}) J_D^{-1} = \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the conjugation by  $h_W(i)$  yields a Cartan involution of  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . This Cartan involution corresponds clearly to a Cartan involution on  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  given by the conjugation by  $\mathrm{ad}(h_W(i))$ .  $\square$

Let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Since all maximal compact subgroups of a reductive group are conjugate, we assume without loss of generality that  $K$  is the subgroup fixed by the Cartan involution obtained from conjugation by  $h_W(i)$ . Let  $C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i))$  denote the centralizer of  $(\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)$  in  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})$ .

**Lemma 1.12.**

$$C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)) = \mathrm{ad}(K) = \mathrm{ad}(K \cap \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}})$$

*Proof.* One has clearly

$$C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)) \supseteq \mathrm{ad}(K) \supseteq \mathrm{ad}(K \cap \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Thus it remains to prove

$$C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)) \subseteq \mathrm{ad}(K \cap \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Since  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  are isogenous, we have a correspondence between their maximal compact subgroups. The maximal compact subgroups  $K_G$  of real algebraic reductive groups  $G$  are the subgroups of  $G$  satisfying

$$K_G = \{g \in G \mid \theta(g) = g\}$$

for some Cartan involution  $\theta$  (follows from [12], **I**. Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.5). Recall that the conjugation by  $h(i)$  yields a Cartan involution on  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and the conjugation by  $(\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)$  yields a Cartan involution on  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Thus one concludes that the centralizer of  $(\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)$  is given by  $\mathrm{ad}(K \cap \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}})$ .  $\square$

## 2. COMPUTATION OF THE ADJOINT HODGE GROUP

In this section we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** *The group  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PU}(1, 1)$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{ad}}(4)$ .*

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we need to understand  $K$  first:

**Lemma 2.2.** *The group  $K^0$  is a torus or  $K = C(h_W(i))$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $K^0$  is compact,  $K^0$  is reductive (see 1.3). One has without loss of generality

$$K \subseteq C(h_W(i)) \cong \mathrm{U}(2).$$

If  $K^0$  is a torus, we are done. Otherwise  $K^0$  has a nontrivial semisimple subgroup

$$K^{\mathrm{der}} \subseteq C^{\mathrm{der}}(h_W(i)) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$$

(see 1.1). Since  $\mathrm{SU}(2)$  does not contain any simple proper subgroup,  $K^{\mathrm{der}} = C^{\mathrm{der}}(h_W(i))$ . From the facts that  $h(S^1)$  is not contained in  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h_W(i))$ , but contained in  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and commutes with  $h_W(i) = h(-i)$ , we conclude  $K = C(h_W(i))$  in this case.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *The centralizer of  $C^{\text{der}}(h_W(i))$  in  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  is given by the center  $Z(C(h_W(i)))$  of  $C(h_W(i))$ .*

*Proof.* Recall the description of  $C^{\text{der}}(h_W(i)) \cong \text{SU}(2)$  in Proposition 1.7 and the description of  $\text{SU}(2)$  in Remark 1.8. Thus  $N \in C^{\text{der}}(h_W(i))(\mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & -b \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$$

with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{1,2}, v_{2,1}, v_{0,3}\}$ . Now let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)(\mathbb{R})$$

commute with each  $N \in C^{\text{der}}(h_W(i))(\mathbb{R})$  for some suitable  $A, B, C, D \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus  $M$  commutes with  $\text{diag}(i, -i, i, -i)$  and one computes that  $A, B, C, D$  are diagonal matrices. Moreover one has that  $M$  has to commute with

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this fact and the assumptions that  $M$  is a real matrix and commutes with each element of  $C^{\text{der}}(h_W(i))(\mathbb{R})$ , one concludes

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 & \bar{y} & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & -\bar{y} \\ -y & 0 & \bar{z} & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover one computes that

$$\begin{aligned} M^t Q M &= \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 & -y & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & y \\ \bar{y} & 0 & \bar{z} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{y} & 0 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 & \bar{y} & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & -\bar{y} \\ -y & 0 & \bar{z} & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2iyz & 0 & i|y|^2 - i|z|^2 \\ 2iyz & 0 & i|y|^2 - i|z|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i|y|^2 + i|z|^2 & 0 & -2i\bar{y}\bar{z} \\ -i|y|^2 + i|z|^2 & 0 & 2i\bar{y}\bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $M \in \text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ , only if  $y = 0$  and  $|z| = 1$ . Thus  $M \in Z(C(h_W(i)))$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.**  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be compact.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  would be compact. Thus one concludes that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = K$  is a torus or  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_W(i))$  (see Lemma 2.2). In the first case one concludes  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq C(h(S^1))$ , which contains only 4 complex structures (see Remark 1.5). In the second case the Cartan involution obtained from conjugation by  $h_{\mathcal{X}_b}(i) \in C(h_W(i))$  fixes each element of the compact group  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R}) = C(h_W(i))(\mathbb{R})$  for each  $b \in B$ . Hence each  $h_{\mathcal{X}_b}(i)$  has to be contained in the center of  $C(h_W(i))$ . Note that  $Z(C(h_W(i)))$  has only the two complex structures  $\pm h_W(i)$ . Thus in any case  $h(i) = h_{\mathcal{X}_b}(i)$  for each  $b \in B$ , since the VHS is continuous and for each  $b \in B$  one obtains

$$H^{3,0}(\mathcal{X}_b) \subset \text{Eig}(h_{\mathcal{X}_b}(i), -i) = \text{Eig}(h_X(i), -i) = \text{Span}(v_{3,0}, v_{1,2}).$$

But this contradicts the fact that  $\omega(0)$  and  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}\omega(0)$  generate  $F^2(X)$ , where  $\omega$  denotes a generic section of the  $F^3$ -bundle in the  $VHS$  (see [2]).  $\square$

Now we change for a moment to the language of semisimple adjoint Lie groups. Connected semisimple adjoint Lie groups are direct products of their normal simple subgroups (see [10], Lemma 1.3.8). The group  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$  is an example of a connected semisimple adjoint Lie group.

**Proposition 2.5.** *There does not exist any nontrivial direct factor  $F$  of  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$  such that*

$$Z(K)(\mathbb{R})^+ \subset \ker(pr_F \circ \mathrm{ad}).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $F$  is a direct factor of  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$  with

$$Z(K)(\mathbb{R})^+ \subset \ker(pr_F \circ \mathrm{ad}).$$

We show that  $F$  is trivial. Since  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be compact (see Lemma 2.4), the maximal compact subgroup  $K$  associated to the Cartan involution obtained from conjugation by  $h(i)$  is a proper subgroup. Thus  $h(i)$  is not contained in the center of  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Recall that  $K^0$  is a torus or  $K = C(h(i))$ . Since  $h(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$  is connected,  $h(i) \in Z(K)(\mathbb{R})^+$  in both cases. Thus from our assumption we conclude that  $F$  is contained in the maximal compact subgroup associated to the Cartan involution obtained from conjugation by  $(\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)$ . Consider the projection map  $pr_F : \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+ \rightarrow F$ . Since

$$(\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i) \in G := \ker(pr_F) \subset \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+,$$

one concludes that  $G$  is non-trivial semisimple adjoint. Note that

$$\ker(pr_G) = F \text{ and } \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+ = F \times G,$$

since connected semisimple adjoint Lie groups are direct products of their normal simple subgroups (see [10], Lemma 1.3.8). Let

$$F' = \ker(pr_G \circ \mathrm{ad}|_{\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})})^+ \text{ and } G' = \ker(pr_F \circ \mathrm{ad}|_{\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})})^+.$$

Since  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  are isogenous, one concludes that  $F'$  and  $G'$  commute. Since  $F'$  is a semisimple group with elements fixed by the Cartan involution obtained from conjugation by  $h(i)$  and  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)(\mathbb{R})$  contains no semisimple proper subgroup, one concludes

$$F' = C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))(\mathbb{R}) \text{ or } F' = \{e\}.$$

Only the torus  $Z(C(h(i)))$  commutes with  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))$  (see Lemma 2.3). Thus from the fact that  $G'$  is nontrivial semisimple and commutes with  $F'$ , we conclude  $F' = \{e\}$ . Thus  $F$  is trivial.  $\square$

The connected semisimple adjoint Lie group  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$  is a direct product of connected simple adjoint subgroups. Let  $F$  be one of these nontrivial direct factors. The maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$  is given by

$$\mathrm{ad}(K(\mathbb{R})) \cap \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$$

(follows from Lemma 1.12). Thus for the maximal compact subgroup  $K_F$  of  $F$  one concludes that  $K_F^+ = (pr_F \circ \mathrm{ad})(K(\mathbb{R})^+)$ . Due to the fact that  $Z(K)(\mathbb{R})^+$  is not contained in  $\ker(pr_F \circ \mathrm{ad})$  and not discrete as one concludes from Lemma 2.2, the maximal compact subgroup  $K_F$  has a nondiscrete center. Since  $F$  has a trivial center,  $K_F \neq F$  and one concludes:

**Corollary 2.6.** *The connected adjoint Lie group  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$  is a direct product of noncompact simple adjoint subgroups, whose maximal compact subgroups have nondiscrete centers.*

Note that each Hermitian symmetric domain is a direct product of irreducible Hermitian symmetric domains (for the definition and more details about Hermitian symmetric domains see [6]). If  $G$  is a connected simple adjoint noncompact Lie group and  $K_G$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with nondiscrete center, the quotient  $G/K_G$  has the structure of a uniquely determined irreducible Hermitian symmetric domain ([6], **XIII**. Theorem 6.1.). Hence one concludes from Corollary 2.6:

**Proposition 2.7.** *The quotient*

$$D = \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+ / \mathrm{ad}(K(\mathbb{R})) \cap \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$$

*has the structure of an Hermitian symmetric domain.*

Since  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ , the associated Hermitian symmetric domain of  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q)(\mathbb{R})$  is  $\mathfrak{h}_2$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{h}_2 = 3$ , the Hermitian symmetric domain  $D$  has dimension 1, 2 or 3. By using these conditions, we obtain some candidates for  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})^+$ . Since these candidates are the Lie groups of real valued points of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic semisimple adjoint groups, we obtain not only connected Lie groups, but  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic groups in our cases by using Lemma 1.2. Moreover we will exclude all of these candidates except of the candidates stated in Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $D$  has dimension one, we obtain*

$$\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{PU}(1, 1).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $D$  has dimension one. By consulting the list of irreducible Hermitian symmetric domains ([6], **X**, Table **V**), one concludes  $D = \mathbb{B}_1$ . Thus from the fact that there are no direct compact factors (see Corollary 2.6) one concludes

$$\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{PU}(1, 1).$$

□

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $D$  has dimension two, we obtain*

$$\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{PU}(1, 2), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{PU}(1, 1) \times \mathrm{PU}(1, 1).$$

*Proof.* By consulting the list of irreducible Hermitian symmetric domains ([6], **X**, Table **V**), the only possible Hermitian symmetric domains of dimension two are up to isomorphisms given by  $\mathbb{B}_1 \times \mathbb{B}_1$  and  $\mathbb{B}_2$ . Thus we obtain the stated result. □

**Lemma 2.10.** *One obtains  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q)$ , if  $D$  has the dimension 3.*

*Proof.* We show that  $\mathfrak{h}_2$  contains no bounded symmetric domain of dimension 3 except of itself. In order to do this we check the list of Hermitian Symmetric Domains (compare [6], **X**, Table **V**). The domain  $D$  cannot be the direct product of 3 copies of  $\mathbb{B}_1$ , since in this case the centralizer of  $(\mathrm{ad} \circ h_X)(i)$  would be a torus of dimension 3. But the centralizer of  $h_X(i)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{U}(2)$ , which contains a maximal torus of dimension 2. Since each point  $p \in \mathbb{B}_1 \times \mathbb{B}_2$  has a centralizer  $S^1 \times \mathrm{U}(2)$  of dimension 5 and  $C(h(i)) \cong \mathrm{U}(2)$  has dimension 4, one concludes that  $D$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}_1 \times \mathbb{B}_2$ . In the case of  $\mathbb{B}_3$  the stabilizer is  $\mathrm{U}(3)$  and hence it is to large. The same holds true in the case of  $\mathrm{SO}^*(6)/\mathrm{U}(3)$ . Moreover the associated bounded symmetric domain of  $\mathrm{SO}(2, 3)^+(\mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{h}_2$ . Thus we obtain the stated result. □

By the previous lemmas, the following adjoint semisimple groups are possible candidates for  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ :

$$\mathrm{PU}(1,1), \quad \mathrm{PU}(1,1) \times \mathrm{PU}(1,1), \quad \mathrm{PU}(1,2), \quad \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{ad}}(4)$$

Now we exclude  $\mathrm{PU}(1,2)$  and  $\mathrm{PU}(1,1) \times \mathrm{PU}(1,1)$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** *The group  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PU}(1,2)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  would be isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PU}(1,2)$ . In this case the centralizer  $C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)) \subset \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  of the complex structure  $(\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{U}(2)$ . Hence  $C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i))$  has dimension 4. One has that  $C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i))$  is isogenous to  $C(h(i)) \cap \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Since  $C(h(i))$  has already dimension 4 and  $h(S^1) \subset C(h(i))$ , one concludes

$$C(h(i)) \subset \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Note that

$$C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i)) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2).$$

Moreover  $\mathrm{ad}$  yields a homomorphism

$$g := \mathrm{ad}|_{C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))} : C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i)) \rightarrow C(\mathrm{ad} \circ h(i)),$$

whose kernel consists of  $\{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ . Since

$$C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))/\{\pm \mathrm{id}\} \cong \mathrm{PU}(2)$$

is semisimple, one has

$$(g(C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))))^{\mathrm{der}} = g(C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))).$$

Hence

$$g(C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))) \subset C^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathrm{ad} \circ h(i)) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2).$$

Recall that

$$\mathrm{SU}(2)(\mathbb{R}) = \{M(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1\}.$$

Each matrix  $M(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\alpha \in i\mathbb{R}$  has the characteristic polynomial

$$x^2 + 1 = (x - i)(x + i),$$

which implies that  $M(\alpha, \beta)$  is a complex structure. Therefore  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)(\mathbb{R})$  contains infinitely many complex structures. Since  $\ker(g) = \{\pm \mathrm{id}\}$ , all these complex structures are mapped to infinitely many elements of order 2 in  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathrm{ad} \circ h(i))$ . Since each  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $M$  of order 2 has a minimal polynomial dividing the polynomial  $x^2 - 1$ , the matrix  $M$  is either given by  $\mathrm{diag}(-1, -1)$  or one has an eigenspace with respect to 1 and one eigenspace with respect to  $-1$ . In the second case  $\det(M) = -1$ . Thus  $\mathrm{diag}(-1, -1)$  is the only element of order 2 in  $\mathrm{SU}(2)(\mathbb{R})$ . On the other hand there are infinitely many complex structures in  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h(i))(\mathbb{R})$ , which are mapped by  $g$  to infinitely many elements of order 2 in  $C((\mathrm{ad} \circ h)(i))(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus we have a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $H$  denote the centralizer of  $h_G(i)h_W(i)$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ . Note that

$$h_G(i)h_W(i) = \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1)$$

with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{0,3}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}\}$ . Thus  $H(\mathbb{R})$  is given by the matrices

$$(3) \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & d \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{d} & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ \bar{d} & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SU}(1,1)(\mathbb{R})$$

with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{0,3}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}\}$ . One can easily verify this fact by explicit computations using the description of the symplectic form  $Q$  in (1). The group  $H$  will play an important role due to the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.12.** *The group  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be a subgroup of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  would be a subgroup of  $H$ . Since for each  $b \in B$  the conjugation by  $h_W(i)_b$  yields a Cartan involution of  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ , which can be restricted to an involution of  $H$  in this case, the conjugation by  $h_W(i)_b$  yields a Cartan involution of  $H$  (compare [12], I. Theorem 4.2). Due to the fact  $H \cong \text{SU}(1, 1) \times \text{SU}(1, 1)$ , the corresponding maximal compact subgroup is a torus of dimension 2 containing  $h_b(S^1)$ . By Remark 1.5, the centralizer  $C(h_b(S^1))$  is already a torus of dimension 2. Hence

$$h_G(i)_b \in C(h_b(S^1)) \subset H.$$

Thus from the description of  $H$  in (3) and the fact that  $h_G(i)_b, h_W(i)_b \in H$  are real complex structures, one concludes that

$$\text{Eig}(h_G(i)_b, i) = \text{Span}(v_1, v_3), \quad \text{Eig}(h_W(i)_b, i) = \text{Span}(v_2, v_4)$$

with

$$(4) \quad v_1, v_2 \in \text{Span}(v_{3,0}, v_{0,3}), \quad v_3, v_4 \in \text{Span}(v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}).$$

For each  $b \in B$  one has the onedimensional vector space

$$H^{3,0}(\mathcal{X}_b) = \text{Eig}(h_G(i)_b, i) \cap \text{Eig}(h_W(i)_b, i).$$

Hence  $\{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$  is not linearly independent and one concludes from the description of  $H$  in (4) that  $H^{3,0}(\mathcal{X}_b)$  is either contained in  $\text{Span}(v_{3,0}, v_{0,3})$  or contained in  $\text{Span}(v_{2,1}, v_{1,2})$ .<sup>3</sup> Since the period map is continuous, one has for each  $b \in B$

$$H^{3,0}(\mathcal{X}_b) \subset \text{Span}(v_{3,0}, v_{0,3}).$$

This contradicts the fact that  $\omega(0)$  and  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial b}}\omega(0)$  generate  $F^2(X)$ , where  $\omega$  denotes a generic section of the  $F^3$ -bundle in the VHS (see [2]). Thus  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be a subgroup of  $H$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.13.** *One cannot have*

$$\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{PU}(1, 1) \times \text{PU}(1, 1).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{PU}(1, 1) \times \text{PU}(1, 1)$ . Without loss of generality the only possible Cartan involution of  $\text{PU}(1, 1) \times \text{PU}(1, 1)$  is given by the conjugation by

$$([\text{diag}(i, -i)], [\text{diag}(i, -i)]) \in \text{PU}(1, 1) \times \text{PU}(1, 1).$$

Moreover in  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{PU}(1, 1) \times \text{PU}(1, 1)$  the maximal compact subgroup of elements fixed by the Cartan involution is given by a torus of dimension 2. Thus there is a torus  $T \subset \text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  of dimension two, whose elements are fixed by the Cartan involution. Assume without loss of generality that the Cartan involution of  $\text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is obtained from conjugation by  $h(i)$ . Thus  $T$  is a maximal torus of  $C(h(i)) \cong \text{U}(2)$ , since  $T$  has dimension 2. Therefore the center of  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is discrete and one concludes from 1.1 that

$$\text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

From the fact that each element of  $h(S^1)$  commutes with  $h(i)$ , one concludes  $h(S^1) \subset T$ . Since  $T$  is a torus of dimension 2 containing  $h(S^1)$ , one concludes from Remark 1.5 that  $T = C(h(S^1))$ . Thus  $h_G(i) \in T$  and  $h_G(S^1) \subset T$ . Note that  $h_G(i)$  cannot be contained in

---

<sup>3</sup>This is only an exercise in linear algebra.

the center of  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ , since  $h_G(i) \in Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}})$  would imply that  $h_G(S^1) \subset Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}})$  as one can easily conclude from the fact that

$$h_G(S^1)(\mathbb{R}) = \{a \cdot \text{id} + b \cdot h_G(i) \mid a^2 + b^2 = 1\}.$$

This contradicts our conclusion that  $Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}})$  is discrete. Since  $h_G(i)$  has order 4 and

$$h_G(i)^2 = -\text{id} \in \ker(\text{ad}),$$

one concludes that  $\text{ad}(h_G(i))$  yields an element of order two in  $\text{ad}(T)$ . Note that  $\text{ad}(T)$  has only the three elements

$([\text{diag}(i, -i)], [\text{diag}(1, 1)])$ ,  $([\text{diag}(i, -i)], [\text{diag}(i, -i)])$  and  $([\text{diag}(1, 1)], [\text{diag}(i, -i)])$  of order 2. Thus we have two cases: In the first case  $\text{ad}(h_G(i))$  is without loss of generality given by

$$([\text{diag}(i, -i)], [\text{diag}(1, 1)]).$$

Let  $pr_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) denote the projection of  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{PU}(1, 1) \times \text{PU}(1, 1)$  to the respective copy of  $\text{PU}(1, 1)$ . One has that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  contains  $\ker(pr_1 \circ \text{ad})^0$  and  $\ker(pr_2 \circ \text{ad})^0$ . Since the groups  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  are isogenous,  $\ker(pr_1 \circ \text{ad})^0$  and  $\ker(pr_2 \circ \text{ad})^0$  are also isogenous to  $\text{PU}(1, 1)$  and commute also. Moreover since  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  are isogenous,  $(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cap C(h_G(i)))^0$  is also isogenous to  $C((\text{ad} \circ h_G)(i))$ . Since  $\ker(pr_1)$  commutes with  $\text{ad}(h_G(i))$ , one concludes that  $\ker(pr_1 \circ \text{ad})^0$  is a nontrivial simple subgroup of  $C(h_G(i))$ . Since the only nontrivial simple subgroup of  $C(h_G(i))$  is  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))$ , one gets

$$\ker(pr_1 \circ \text{ad})^0 = C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i)).$$

By analogue arguments, one concludes

$$\ker(pr_2 \circ \text{ad})^0 \subset H := C(h_G(i)h_W(i)).$$

We obtain the desired contradiction by showing that  $\ker(pr_1 \circ \text{ad})^0$  and  $\ker(pr_2 \circ \text{ad})^0$  cannot commute here. One has that  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))(\mathbb{R})$  is given by matrices of the form

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} & 0 & \bar{\beta} \\ \bar{\beta} & 0 & \bar{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$$

with respect to the basis

$$\{v_{3,0}, v_{0,3}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}\}$$

as the reader can easily verify by the description of  $C(h_G(i))(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{U}(1, 1)$  in Proposition 1.6 and the description of  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$  in Remark 1.8. Moreover by explicit computations using (3), one checks that in  $H(\mathbb{R})$  only the diagonal matrices of the kind  $\text{diag}(\xi, \bar{\xi}, \xi, \bar{\xi})$  commute with each element of  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))(\mathbb{R})$ . This contradicts our previous conclusion that  $H$  contains a subgroup isogenous to  $\text{PU}(1, 1)$ , which commutes with  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence the first case cannot hold true.

In the second case  $\text{ad}(h_G(i))$  is given by

$$([\text{diag}(i, -i)], [\text{diag}(i, -i)]) \in \text{PU}(1, 1) \times \text{PU}(1, 1).$$

This implies that  $\text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is contained in the subgroup of  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  on which both involutions obtained from conjugation by  $h_W(i)$  and  $h_G(i)$  coincide. One has that

$$h_W(i) = \text{diag}(i, -i, -i, i) \quad \text{and} \quad h_G(i) = \text{diag}(i, -i, i, -i)$$

with respect to the basis

$$\{v_{3,0}, v_{0,3}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}\}.$$

Thus  $H$  is the subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}))$  on which both involutions obtained from conjugation by  $h_W(i)$  and  $h_G(i)$  coincide as one can easily compute by using the description of  $H$  in (3). But by Lemma 2.12, the group  $H$  cannot contain  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Thus the second case cannot occur.  $\square$

### 3. THE CASE OF A ONEDIMENSIONAL PERIOD DOMAIN

In this section we will assume that the period domain  $D$  has dimension 1 unless stated otherwise. In the previous section we saw that  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{PU}(1, 1)$ , if  $D = 1$ . Since

$$\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X}) = (\mathrm{SL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})) \cap \mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X}))^0$$

(follows from [10], Lemma 1.3.17), one concludes

$$\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Recall the definition of Shimura data:

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $G$  be a reductive  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group and  $h : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a homomorphism. Then the pair  $(G, h)$  is a Shimura datum, if:

- (1) The group  $G^{\mathrm{ad}}$  has no nontrivial direct compact factor over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (2) The conjugation by  $h(i)$  is a Cartan involution.
- (3) The representation  $\mathrm{ad} \circ h$  of  $\mathbb{S}$  on  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{R}})$  is a Hodge structure of type

$$(1, -1), (0, 0), (-1, 1).$$

We will show that the pair  $(\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X}), h_X)$  is a Shimura datum. Moreover we will determine the center of  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  in the case of a nondiscrete center. In addition we describe the monodromy in the latter case and give some examples.

**Proposition 3.2.** *The center of  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})$  is given by diagonal matrices  $\mathrm{diag}(\xi, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi})$  for  $|\xi| = 1$  with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Each element  $Z$  in the center of  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})$  commutes in particular with  $h_X(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$ . This holds only true, if  $Z$  is a diagonal matrix with respect to  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$  as the conjugation by elements of  $h(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$  in Remark 1.4 shows. The subgroup of the matrices in  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ , which are diagonal with respect to  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$ , is contained in  $C(h_W(i)) \cong \mathrm{U}(2)$  and therefore compact. By Lemma 2.4, the group  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be compact. Thus  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  contains elements, which are not given by diagonal matrices with respect to  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$ . Since  $Z$  has to be real and to commute with the matrices in  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})$ , which are not diagonal, one concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \pm \mathrm{diag}(\xi, 1, 1, \bar{\xi}), \quad Z = \pm \mathrm{diag}(1, \xi, \bar{\xi}, 1), \\ Z &= \mathrm{diag}(\xi, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi}) \quad \text{or} \quad Z = \mathrm{diag}(\xi, \bar{\xi}, \xi, \bar{\xi}) \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$ . Moreover one has  $|\xi| = 1$ , since  $Z^t Q Z = Q$ . For  $Z = \pm \mathrm{diag}(\xi, 1, 1, \bar{\xi})$  with  $\xi \neq \pm 1$  the centralizer  $C(Z)$  of  $Z$  in  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  is given by the group of matrices

$$(5) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{\zeta} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad |\zeta| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SU}(1, 1)$$

as one concludes by computations using (1). Thus one concludes that  $C(Z) \subset H$  from the description of  $H$  in (3).

Moreover for  $Z = \pm \text{diag}(1, \xi, \bar{\xi}, 1)$  with  $\xi \neq \pm 1$  the centralizer  $C(Z)$  is given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & \zeta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\zeta} & 0 \\ \bar{\beta} & 0 & 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } |\zeta| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SU}(1, 1),$$

which is also a subgroup of  $H$  as one concludes from analogue arguments. By Lemma 2.12, the group  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be a subgroup of  $H$ . Since the matrices of the form

$$\pm \text{diag}(\xi, 1, 1, \bar{\xi}), \quad \pm \text{diag}(1, \xi, \bar{\xi}, 1) \quad \text{with } \xi \neq \pm 1$$

have centralizers contained in  $H$ , these matrices are not contained in the center of  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

One can also not have that

$$Z = \pm \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, 1) \in Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

too, since in this case the centralizer of  $Z$  in  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  is  $H$ .

Hence one has

$$Z = \text{diag}(\xi, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi}) \quad \text{or} \quad Z = \text{diag}(\xi, \bar{\xi}, \xi, \bar{\xi}).$$

The matrix  $\text{diag}(\xi, \bar{\xi}, \xi, \bar{\xi})$  commutes only with elements in  $C(h_X(i)) \cong \text{U}(2)$ , if  $\xi \neq \pm 1$ . Recall that  $\text{U}(2)$  is compact. Moreover

$$\text{diag}(\xi, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi}) = \text{diag}(\xi, \bar{\xi}, \xi, \bar{\xi})$$

for  $\xi = \pm 1$ . Again we use the fact that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be compact and conclude that  $Z = \text{diag}(\xi, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi})$ .  $\square$

Since

$$h_X(\xi) \in Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})) \Rightarrow \text{diag}(\xi, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi}) = \text{diag}(\xi^3, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi}^3) \Leftrightarrow \xi^3 = \xi \Leftrightarrow \xi^2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow \xi = \pm 1$$

and  $h_X(-1) = -E_4$ , one concludes from the previous proposition:

**Corollary 3.3.** *The kernel of the representation  $\text{ad} \circ h$  consists of  $\{\pm 1\}$ .*

**Corollary 3.4.** *One has  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ , if and only if  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  has a nondiscrete center.*

*Proof.* Due to the fact that  $C(h_G(i)) \cong \text{U}(1, 1)$  has a nondiscrete center, it is clear that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  has a nondiscrete center, if  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ . Conversely, if the center  $Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}})$  is nondiscrete,  $\dim Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}) \geq 1$ . Moreover the  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued points of  $Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}})$  are a subgroup of the group of diagonal matrices  $\text{diag}(\xi, \xi, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\xi})$  for  $|\xi| = 1$  with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$  (see Proposition 3.2). Since the latter group is given by the onedimensional group  $h_G(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$ , one concludes that  $Z(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}) \supseteq h_G(S^1)$ . Thus  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq C(h_G(S^1))$ . Recall that reductive groups are almost direct products of their centers and their derived subgroups (see 1.1). Moreover note that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be commutative. Otherwise it would be a subgroup of the compact torus

$$C(h(S^1)) \cong S^1 \times S^1$$

(compare Remark 1.2), which contradicts the fact that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  cannot be compact (see Lemma 2.4). Thus  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  has a nontrivial derived subgroup. Due to the fact that

$$C^{\text{der}}(h_G(S^1)) = C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i)) \cong \text{SU}(1, 1)$$

contains no semisimple proper subgroup and does not contain  $h_G(S^1)$ , one concludes  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *The pair  $(\text{MT}(\mathcal{X}), h_X)$  is a Shimura datum, if  $D \cong \mathbb{B}_1$ .*

*Proof.* By our previous results and assumptions,

$$\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathrm{PU}(1, 1).$$

Thus  $\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})$  is simple and noncompact. Moreover  $\mathrm{ad}(h(i))$  yields a Cartan involution (see Lemma 1.11). Due to the fact that the conjugation by a diagonal matrix  $\mathrm{diag}(a, \dots, a)$  is the identity map, the weight homomorphism of the Hodge structure  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ h$  is given by  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \{e\}$ . Thus the Hodge structure  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ h$  has weight zero and all characters of the representation  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ h$  are given by  $(z/\bar{z})^k$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Corollary 3.3, the kernel of  $\mathrm{ad} \circ h|_{S^1}$  consists of  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Since  $\dim(\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}) = 3$ , this implies that the representation  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ h$  is a Hodge structure of type  $(1, -1), (0, 0), (-1, 1)$ . Thus we have a Shimura datum as claimed.  $\square$

The variation  $\mathcal{V}$  of weight 3 Hodge structures of a nonisotrivial family  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds has an underlying local system  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  corresponding to an up to conjugation unique monodromy representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathcal{Z}, z) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^3(\mathcal{Y}_z, \mathbb{Z})).$$

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_z \cong X$ . The algebraic group  $\mathrm{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y})$  denotes the connected component of identity of the Zariski closure of  $\rho(\pi_1(\mathcal{Z}, z))$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}))$ . The group  $\mathrm{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y})$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{Y})$ , if  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a connected complex algebraic manifold (see [9], Theorem 1.4). Since  $\mathrm{MT}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{Y})$  (follows from [10], Corollary 1.3.19) and  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q)$  is simple, one concludes:

**Proposition 3.6.** *If  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  has an infinite monodromy group,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a connected complex algebraic manifold,  $\mathcal{Y}_z \cong X$  and*

$$\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q),$$

one has also

$$\mathrm{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q).$$

Consider the Kuranishi family  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  of  $X$  and the period map

$$p : B \rightarrow \mathrm{Grass}(H^3(X, \mathbb{C}), b_3(X)/2)$$

associating to each  $b \in B$  the subspace

$$F^2(H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{C})) \subset H^3(\mathcal{X}_b, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^3(\mathcal{X}_B, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$$

as described in [14], Chapter 10. We say that  $F^2(\mathcal{H}^3)_B$  is constant, if the period map  $p : B \rightarrow \mathrm{Grass}(H^3(X, \mathbb{C}), b_3(X)/2)$  is constant. Moreover recall that  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is a maximal family of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds, if  $\mathcal{Z}$  can be covered by open subsets  $U$  such that each  $\mathcal{Y}_U$  is isomorphic to a Kuranishi family.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a connected complex algebraic manifold and  $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  is a maximal family of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds with  $\mathcal{Y}_z \cong X$  and an infinite monodromy group. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *One has that  $F^2(\mathcal{H}^3)_B$  is constant.*
- (2) *The monodromy representation  $\rho$  of  $R^3 f_* \mathbb{Q}$  satisfies*

$$\rho(\gamma)(F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C}))) = F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) \quad (\forall \gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{Z}, z)).$$

- (3) *One has*

$$\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i)).$$

*Proof.* In [11], Section 2, we have seen that (1) implies (2).

In the case of (2) we assume that

$$\rho(\gamma)(F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C}))) = F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C})) \text{ and } \rho(\gamma)(H^3(X, \mathbb{R})) = H^3(X, \mathbb{R}) \quad (\forall \gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{Z}, z)).$$

Hence one has also that

$$\rho(\gamma)(\overline{F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C}))}) = \overline{F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C}))} \quad (\forall \gamma \in \pi_1(\mathcal{Z}, z)).$$

Thus one concludes that  $h_G(S^1)$  commutes with  $\text{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y})$ . Hence  $\text{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a semisimple group contained in the simple group  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i)) \cong \text{SU}(1, 1)$ . This implies that  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i)) = \text{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Since  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{Y}) = \text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})$  is simple by Theorem 2.1, we conclude

$$C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i)) = \text{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$$

from the fact that  $\text{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Hg}^{\text{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Due to the fact that  $h(S^1)$  is not contained in  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))$ , the reductive group  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  has a nontrivial center. Thus from Corollary 3.4, we conclude (3).

Now assume that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ . In this case  $h_G(i)$  commutes with the elements of  $h_b(S^1)(\mathbb{R})$  for each  $b \in B$ . Hence  $h_G(S^1)$  is contained in  $C(h_b(S^1))$ . Due to the fact that  $C(h_b(S^1))$  contains only the complex structures  $\pm h_W(i)_b$  and  $\pm h_G(i)_b$  (see Remark 1.5), one concludes  $h_G(i) = h_G(i)_b$  from the fact that the VHS is continuous. In other terms  $F^2(\mathcal{H}^3)_B$  is constant.  $\square$

**Example 3.8.** We consider an example, which occurs in [10], 11.3.11. Let  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  denote the family of elliptic curves

$$\mathbb{P}^2 \supset V(y^2z - x(x - z)(x - \lambda z)) \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$$

with involution  $\iota_{\mathcal{E}}$  given by  $y \rightarrow -y$  over  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . Moreover there is a  $K3$  surface  $S$  with involution  $\iota_S$  such that

$$\iota_S|_{H^{1,1}(S)} = \text{id} \text{ and } \iota_S|_{H^{2,0}(S) \oplus H^{0,2}(S)} = -\text{id}.$$

By blowing up the singular sections of the family  $\mathcal{E} \times S / \langle (\iota_{\mathcal{E}}, \iota_S) \rangle$  over  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , one obtains a family  $\mathcal{Y}$  of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds. The Hodge numbers are given by  $h^{1,1} = 61$  and  $h^{2,1} = 1$ .

It is a well-known fact that the family  $\mathcal{E}$  has a locally injective period map to the upper half plane. By [10], Example 1.6.9,

$$F^3(H^3(\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{C})) = H^{2,0}(S) \otimes H^{1,0}(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}) \text{ and } F^2(H^3(\mathcal{Y}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{C})) = H^{2,0}(S) \otimes H^1(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Thus the  $F^2$ -bundle in the VHS of  $\mathcal{Y}$  is constant and one concludes that  $\mathcal{Y}$  a maximal family from the fact that the period map associated with the  $F^3$ -bundle is locally injective. By Theorem 3.7, one concludes  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ .

**Remark 3.9.** For the proof that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) in Theorem 3.7 one does not need the assumption that the base is algebraic. It is sufficient to consider the local universal deformation. Thus from [11], Section 2 one concludes that  $X$  cannot occur as a fiber of a family with maximally unipotent monodromy, if  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ .

**Example 3.10.** In [11] one finds an example of a Calabi-Yau 3-manifold  $X$  with Hodge numbers  $h^{2,1}(X) = 1$  and  $h^{1,1}(X) = 73$ . The manifold  $X$  has an automorphism  $\alpha$  of degree 3, which extends to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $B$  and acts by a primitive cubic root of unity on  $F^2(H^3(X, \mathbb{C}))$ . Since  $\alpha$  yields an isometry of the Hodge structure of each fiber, the generic Hodge group is contained in the centralizer  $C(\alpha)$  of  $\alpha$  in  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q)$ . By [11], Lemma 3.4, one has a description of  $C(\alpha)_{\mathbb{R}}$  coinciding with the description of  $C(h_G(i))$  in Proposition 1.6. Hence  $C(\alpha)_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ . Due to the fact that  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))$  does not

contain any proper simple subgroup and  $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{der}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a nontrivial simple subgroup of  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h_G(i))$ , one concludes  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$ .

#### 4. THE THIRD CASE

Recall that  $K$  denotes a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  and that

$$D = \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{ad}(K(\mathbb{R}))$$

is a Hermitian symmetric domain (see Proposition 2.7). For  $D = \mathbb{B}_1$  we have seen that  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong C(h_G(i))$ , if and only if  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  has a nondiscrete center (see Corollary 3.4). In Section 2 we have seen that

$$\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{ad}}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q) \text{ or } \mathrm{Hg}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathrm{PU}(1, 1).$$

It remains to consider the third case that  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  has a discrete center and  $D \cong \mathbb{B}_1$ . Thus assume that  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  is simple and has dimension 3. We will study  $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  by computing its Lie algebra in this case. Let us start with the following observation:

Recall that  $\mathrm{GSp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  is given by the matrices  $M \in H^3(X, \mathbb{R})$  with

$$M^t Q M = r Q \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover recall that each representation of  $\mathbb{S}$  on a real vector space  $V$  is a Hodge structure by the decomposition of  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$  into the eigenspaces with respect to the characters  $z^p \bar{z}^q$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see [4], 1.1.1). The conjugation by each diagonal matrix  $\mathrm{diag}(a, a, a, a) \in h(\mathbb{S})(\mathbb{R})$  fixes each element of  $\mathrm{GSp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ . Thus the weight homomorphism

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{GSp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)} \circ h \circ w$$

is given by  $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \{e\}$  and the Hodge structure  $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{GSp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)} \circ h$  is of weight zero. Therefore the algebra  $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{GSp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q))_{\mathbb{C}}$  decomposes into eigenspaces with respect to the characters  $(z/\bar{z})^k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**4.1.** Now we compute the eigenspace decomposition of  $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q))$  with respect to the representation  $(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)} \circ h_X)$  of  $S^1$ . This description is obtained from the following facts: Each of the following 3-dimensional subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$  given with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$  contains an 1-dimensional subgroup on which  $h(S^1)$  acts trivially by conjugation. Moreover the kernel of the respective restricted adjoint representation on the respective Lie algebra can be obtained from the description of the conjugation by elements of  $h(S^1)$  in Remark 1.4. This allows us to determine the characters of the respective restricted adjoint representation, since we have only characters of the type  $(z/\bar{z})^k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  as we have seen above. Since

$$10 = \dim \mathrm{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q),$$

one checks easily that one can find a basis of eigenvectors by the computations below:

- The centralizer  $C(h(S^1))$  is a 2-dimensional torus (see Remark 1.5), which yields a corresponding 2-dimensional eigenspace with character 1.
- The group  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h_W(i))$  is given by the matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} & 0 & -\beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & 0 & \bar{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\beta} & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$$

(this follows from Proposition 1.7 and Remark 1.8). The complexified Lie algebra of  $C^{\mathrm{der}}(h_W(i))$  has an eigenspace with character  $(\bar{z}/z)^2$  and an eigenspace with character  $(z/\bar{z})^2$ .

- The group  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))$  is given by the matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{\alpha} & \bar{\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } |\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$$

(this follows from Proposition 1.6 and Remark 1.8). The complexified Lie algebra of  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))$  has an eigenspace with character  $\bar{z}/z$  and an eigenspace with character  $z/\bar{z}$ .

- By explicit computations using the definition of  $Q$  (see (1)), one can easily check that the group  $CG$  given by the matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \det(M) = 1$$

is a subgroup of  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ . The complexified Lie algebra of the group  $CG$  has an eigenspace with character  $\bar{z}/z$  and an eigenspace with character  $z/\bar{z}$ .

- By explicit computations using the definition of  $Q$  (see (1)), one can easily check that the group given by the matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \bar{\beta} & 0 & 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \det(M) = 1$$

is a subgroup of  $\text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$ . The complexified Lie algebra of this group has an eigenspace with character  $(\bar{z}/z)^3$  and an eigenspace with character  $(z/\bar{z})^3$ .

From now on we make computations with respect to the basis  $\{v_{3,0}, v_{2,1}, v_{1,2}, v_{0,3}\}$ . The Lie algebra of  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  contains clearly the vector space

$$\text{Lie}(h_X(S^1)) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{diag}(3i, i, -i, -3i)).$$

Recall that the representation  $\text{ad} \circ h_X$  of  $S^1$  on  $\text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X}))$  is a weight zero Hodge structure of type  $(1, -1), (0, 0), (-1, 1)$  (follows from Proposition 3.5) and the maximal torus of the 3-dimensional simple group  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  has dimension 1. The direct sum of the eigenspaces with the characters 1,  $z/\bar{z}$  and  $\bar{z}/z$  coincides with

$$\text{Lie}(C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i)))_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \text{Lie}(CG)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

as one concludes from 4.1. Hence

$$\text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})) \subset \text{Lie}(C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))) \oplus \text{Lie}(CG).$$

Moreover recall that  $\text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}) \cong \mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ , where

$$\mathfrak{su}(1, 1) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(H, X, Y) \quad \text{for } H = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(compare Remark 1.9) and  $H$  generates the Lie subalgebra of a maximal torus of  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$  with respect to the identification above. Thus  $\text{Span}(H) = \text{Lie}(h_X(S^1))$ . Since

$$[H, X - iY] = 2Y + 2iX = 2i(X - iY) \quad \text{and} \quad [H, X + iY] = 2Y - 2iX = -2i(X - iY),$$

the vector space  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, Y)$  has a basis of eigenvectors with respect to  $\text{ad}(H)$ . Therefore each  $M \in \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, Y) \subset \text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X}))$  has the form

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Lie}(C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))) + \text{Lie}(CG),$$

where  $CG$  was introduced in 4.1. The explicit descriptions of  $C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i))$  and  $CG$  in 4.1 and the explicit description of  $\text{SU}(1, 1)$  in Remark 1.8, yield natural isomorphisms

$$C^{\text{der}}(h_G(i)) \cong CG \cong \text{SU}(1, 1).$$

Thus from the explicit description of  $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$  in Remark 1.9, we conclude

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{x} & 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{y} & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ . One has an  $M \in \text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X}))$  with  $x \neq 0$ . Otherwise one would have

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})),$$

since  $\dim \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, Y) = 2$ . This implies

$$[N_1, N_2] = \text{diag}(0, -2i, 2i, 0) \neq 0.$$

But this cannot hold true, since  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{diag}(3i, i, -i, -3i))$  is the subvector space of diagonal matrices in  $\text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X}))_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Moreover one has

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{x} & 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{y} & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{x} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & xz & 0 \\ 0 & y\bar{z} - z\bar{y} & 0 & -zx \\ -\bar{x}\bar{z} & 0 & \bar{y}z - \bar{z}y & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{x}\bar{z} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin \text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X}))$$

for  $x, z \neq 0$ . Hence we conclude:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Assume that  $\text{Hg}^{\text{ad}}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \text{PU}(1, 1)$  and  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  has a discrete center. Then for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$  we have*

$$\text{Lie}(\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 3i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{x} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{y} & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Now we determine the possible choices of  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ :

$$(6) \quad \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{y} & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{x} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 0 & ix - y & 0 \\ 0 & -2i + \bar{x}y - x\bar{y} & 0 & y - ix \\ \bar{y} + i\bar{x} & 0 & 2i + x\bar{y} - \bar{x}y & 0 \\ 0 & -i\bar{x} - \bar{y} & 0 & -2i \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence one obtains

$$ix - y = 0 \Leftrightarrow ix = y \Leftrightarrow \Im(y) = \Re(x), \quad \Re(y) = -\Im(x).$$

Thus the matrix on the right hand side of (6) is contained in  $\text{Span}(\text{diag}(3i, i, -i, -3i))$  and for the second entry in the second column we obtain

$$-2i + \bar{x}y - x\bar{y} = \frac{2}{3}i \Rightarrow \bar{x}y - x\bar{y} = \frac{8}{3}i.$$

We have independent of the choice of  $x$  and  $y$  that

$$\Re(\bar{x}y - x\bar{y}) = \Re(\bar{x}y - \bar{x}\bar{y}) = 0$$

The previous equations imply:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8}{3} &= \Im(\bar{x}y - x\bar{y}) = -\Im(x)\Re(y) + \Re(x)\Im(y) + \Re(x)\Im(y) - \Im(x)\Re(y) \\ &= 2\Re(x)^2 + 2\Im(x)^2 = 2|x|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By using  $ix = y$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{y} & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3i \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2x & 0 \\ 0 & 2\bar{x} & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{and } &\left[ \begin{pmatrix} 3i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{x} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2i & 0 & 0 \\ -2i & 0 & 2y & 0 \\ 0 & 2\bar{y} & 0 & 2i \\ 0 & 0 & -2i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that each connected simple Lie group of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is also the connected component of identity of the Lie group of real valued points of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic group (this follows from [12], I. Proposition 3.6). Thus we conclude:

**Proposition 4.3.** *For each  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|x| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$  there is a simple  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic subgroup*

$$G_x \subset \text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{R}), Q)$$

*of dimension 3 such that  $h(S^1) \subset G_x$ .*

**Lemma 4.4.** *Each unipotent matrix in  $G_x$  has a Jordan block of length  $\geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* A unipotent matrix in  $G_x$ , whose Jordan blocks have the maximal length 2, would correspond to a matrix  $M \in \text{Lie}(G_x)$ , whose square is zero. One has that

$$(m_{i,j}) = M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a3i & c+bi & 0 & 0 \\ c-bi & ai & cx+by & 0 \\ 0 & c\bar{x}+b\bar{y} & -ai & c+bi \\ 0 & 0 & c-bi & -3ai \end{pmatrix}^2 = 0$$

with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  is satisfied, only if

$$m_{1,2} = 4ai(c+bi) = 0.$$

Hence  $a = 0$  or  $c+bi = 0$ . The reader checks easily that  $M^2$  cannot be zero in either case with the exception given by  $M = 0$ .  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** In [5] there is a list of explicitly computed examples of variations of Hodge structures of families  $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds with 1-dimensional complex moduli. Note that each of these variations has a monodromy group containing a unipotent matrix, which has only Jordan blocks of length  $\leq 2$ . Due to the fact that  $\text{Mon}^0(\mathcal{Y}) \subseteq \text{Hg}(\mathcal{Y})$ , we conclude from Lemma 4.4 that there is no  $x$  with  $|x| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$  such that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}} \cong G_x$ . Moreover each example in [5] has maximally unipotent monodromy.

Thus we are not in the case  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{Y})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$  for these examples. Therefore the examples of [5] have a generic Hodge group given by  $\text{Sp}(H^3(Y, \mathbb{Q}), Q)$ , where  $Y$  denotes an arbitrary fiber of the respective family  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

It would be very nice to find an example for the third case  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = G_x$ . At present there is no example of a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds with 1-dimensional complex moduli known to the author, which satisfies the third case. Nevertheless one finds a Calabi-Yau like variation of Hodge structures of third case, which arises in a natural way over a curve as we will see now (for the definition of a Calabi-Yau like *VHS* of third case see 4.9). For this example one uses the construction of C. Borcea [1]:

**Construction 4.6.** Let  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  be elliptic curves with involutions  $\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3$  such that  $E_j/\iota_j \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . The singular variety

$$E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 / \langle (\iota_1, \iota_2), (\iota_2, \iota_3) \rangle$$

yields a Calabi-Yau 3-manifold  $C$  by blowing up the singularities. The isomorphism class of  $C$  depends on the choice of the sequence of blowing ups. Nevertheless the Hodge structure on  $H^3(C, \mathbb{Z})$  does not depend on the choice of this sequence and is given by the tensor product

$$H^3(C, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(E_1, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^1(E_2, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^1(E_3, \mathbb{C})$$

of the respective Hodge structures.

Let  $f_1 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$  denote the family of elliptic curves given by

$$\mathbb{P}^2 \supset V(y^2z = x(x-z)(x-\lambda z)) \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

By using the involution of  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$  and three copies of  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , one can give a relative version of the previous construction. Let  $f_3 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})^3$  denote a family obtained by this relative version of C. Borcea's construction.

Recall that a Calabi-Yau 3-manifold  $X$  has complex multiplication (*CM*), if the Hodge group  $\text{Hg}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), h)$  is a torus. For  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} = C(h_G(i))$  the pair is a Shimura datum (see Proposition 3.5). Thus we have a dense set of *CM* fibers.<sup>4</sup> But in this case one cannot have maximally unipotent monodromy (see Remark 3.9). Moreover the associated Hermitian symmetric domain has a dimension larger than the dimension of the basis for  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{Sp}(H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}), Q)$ . For this case one conjectures that only finitely many *CM* fibers occur. Hence for families of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds with onedimensional complex moduli it is feasible to conjecture that the existence of infinitely many nonisomorphic *CM* fibers and maximally unipotent monodromy exclude each other. This does not hold true for Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds with higher dimensional complex moduli, since the family  $f_3 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})^3$  has maximally unipotent monodromy and a dense set of *CM* fibers:

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $\Delta^*$  denote the punctured disc. One finds a neighbourhood  $U$  of the point  $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^3$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is locally defined over  $(\Delta^*)^3 \subset U$ . Let  $D_1, D_2, D_3$  denote the irreducible components of the complement of  $(\Delta^*)^3 \subset U$  and  $\gamma_i$  denote a closed path given by a loop around  $D_i$ . The family  $f_1 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\}$  of elliptic curves has unipotent monodromy around 0 with

$$\rho(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>The proof uses arguments, which occur already in [11], Section 3. One has only to replace  $C(\alpha)$  by  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{X})$  and use the same arguments, which occur after the proof of [11], Lemma 3.4.

with respect to a basis  $\{a, b\}$  (this follows from the computations in [10], Section 3.3). Thus one computes easily that

$$N_{r,s,t} = r \log \rho(\gamma_1) + s \log \rho(\gamma_2) + t \log \rho(\gamma_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2t & 2s & 0 & 2r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2s & 0 & 2r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2t & 0 & 0 & 2r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2t & 2s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3, a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_3, a_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes a_3, a_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3, b_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3, b_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_3, b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes a_3, b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3\}.$$

By analogue computations, one gets the same result for all maximal-depth normal crossing points of  $(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})^3$ . Thus the family  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})^3$  has maximally unipotent monodromy around each maximal-depth normal crossing point (for the definition of maximally unipotent monodromy see [8]). Moreover  $\mathcal{C}$  has  $CM$ , if and only if  $E_1, E_2, E_3$  have  $CM$  as complex tori (see [1], Proposition 3.1). Since it is a well-known fact that  $\mathcal{E}$  has a dense set of fibers  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  such that  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  has  $CM$ , one concludes that  $\mathcal{C}$  has a dense set of  $CM$  fibers.

Now we come to the Calabi-Yau like  $VHS$  of third type. Let  $\Delta \subset (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})^3$  be the diagonal obtained from the closed embedding

$$\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0, 1\})^3 \text{ via } x \rightarrow (x, x, x).$$

As we will see the rational  $VHS$  of the restricted family  $\mathcal{C}_\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  contains a sub- $VHS$  of third type. Let

$$\mathcal{H}^1 = R^1(f_1)_*\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Delta \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^3 = R^3(f_3|_{\mathcal{C}_\Delta})_*\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Delta.$$

**4.8.** One has that  $\mathcal{H}^3 = (\mathcal{H}^1)^{\otimes 3}$  (see also [13], Remark 7.4) and  $F^3(\mathcal{H}^3)$  is contained in the symmetric product  $\text{Sym}^3(\mathcal{H}^1)$ . Hence

$$H^{3,0}(\mathcal{C}_{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}), H^{0,3}(\mathcal{C}_{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}) \subset \text{Sym}^3(H^1(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, \mathbb{C}))$$

for each  $(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda) \in \Delta$ . Since  $F^3(\mathcal{H}^3) \subset \text{Sym}^3(\mathcal{H}^1)$ , one obtains  $\nabla_t \omega(b) \in \text{Sym}^3(H^1(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, \mathbb{Q}))$  for each section  $\omega \in F^3(\mathcal{H}_\Delta^3)(U)$  and  $t \in T_b \Delta$ . By Bryant-Griffiths [2], one has that  $F^2(\mathcal{H}^3)$  is generated by the sections of  $F^3(\mathcal{H}^3)$  and their differentials. Therefore one concludes that  $F^2(\mathcal{H}^3) \cap \text{Sym}^3(\mathcal{H}^1)$  is of rank 2 and we have a polarized rational variation  $\mathcal{V}$  of Hodge structures of type

$$(3, 0), (2, 1), (1, 2), (0, 3)$$

with the underlying local system  $\text{Sym}^3(R^1(f_1)_*\mathbb{Q})$  of rank 4. This  $VHS$  satisfies that  $F^2(\mathcal{V})$  is generated by the sections of  $F^3(\mathcal{V})$  and their differentials along  $\Delta$ , and that  $F^1(\mathcal{V}) = F^3(\mathcal{V})^\perp$  with respect to the polarization. By [2], these two properties characterize the  $VHS$  of a family of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds. In this sense  $\mathcal{V}$  is a Calabi-Yau like sub- $VHS$  of the rational  $VHS$  of  $\mathcal{C}_\Delta$ .

**4.9.** Let  $M$  be connected complex manifold and  $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow M$  be a Calabi-Yau like  $VHS$  with

$$h^{3,0}(\mathcal{W}_m) = h^{2,1}(\mathcal{W}_m) = h^{1,2}(\mathcal{W}_m) = h^{0,3}(\mathcal{W}_m) = 1$$

for each  $m \in M$  in the sense of 4.8. We say that  $\mathcal{W}$  is of third type, if the center of its generic Hodge group is discrete and the associated Hermitian symmetric domain is  $\mathbb{B}_1$ .

Note that all previous arguments are also valid for a Calabi-Yau like *VHS*, which is not necessarily the *VHS* of a family of Calabi-Yau 3-manifolds. Thus there is an  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|x| = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$  such that  $\text{Hg}(\mathcal{W})_{\mathbb{R}} = G_x$  for a Calabi-Yau like *VHS* of third type.

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve and  $M \in \text{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))$  be given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))$$

with respect to a basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})$ . Moreover let

$$Kr^3(M) = M \otimes M \otimes M$$

denote the third Kronecker power of  $M$ . One can easily check that

$$Kr^3(M)(\text{Sym}^3(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))) = \text{Sym}^3(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))$$

for each  $M \in \text{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))$ . Moreover one can easily compute that  $Kr(M)$  acts on  $\text{Sym}^3(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))$  by the matrix

$$(7) \quad r(M) = \begin{pmatrix} a^3 & 3a^2b & 3ab^2 & b^3 \\ a^2c & a^2d + 2abc & 2abd + b^2c & b^2d \\ ac^2 & acd + bc^2 & ad^2 + 2bcd & bd^2 \\ c^3 & 3c^2d & 3cd^2 & d^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis

$$\begin{aligned} & \{e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1, \quad e_1 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2 \quad + \quad e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_1 \quad + \quad e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_1, \\ & \quad e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2 \quad + \quad e_2 \otimes e_1 \otimes e_2 \quad + \quad e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2, \quad e_2 \otimes e_2 \otimes e_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.10.** *One has the homomorphisms*

$$r : \text{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Sym}^3(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))$$

and

$$r|_{\text{SL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))} : \text{SL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})) \rightarrow \text{SL}(\text{Sym}^3(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))$$

of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic groups.

*Proof.* From (7) one concludes that  $r$  is an regular map. Note that the determinant of  $r(M)$  is given by  $\det^6(M)$  for each  $M \in \text{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))$ . This follows by computing  $\det(r(J_M))$ , where  $J_M$  denotes the associated Jordan form of  $M$ . Since one can easily check that  $Kr^3$  respects the matrix multiplication, one concludes that the same holds true for  $r$ . Thus we obtain the homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic groups as claimed.  $\square$

Let  $G$  denote the Zariski closure of  $r(\text{SL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))$  in  $\text{GL}(\text{Sym}^3(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))$ . It is a well-known fact that  $G$  is an algebraic group.

**Lemma 4.11.** *The group  $G$  has at most dimension 3.*

*Proof.* Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})).$$

For  $(m_{i,j}) = r(M)$  one has that

$$m_{2,2}^3 = (a(ad + 2bc))^3 = a^3(a^3d^3 + 6a^2bcd^2 + 12ab^2c^2d + 8b^3c^3)$$

$$= m_{1,1}(m_{1,1}m_{4,4} + \frac{2}{3}m_{1,2}m_{4,3} + \frac{4}{3}m_{1,3}m_{4,2} + 8m_{1,4}m_{4,1})$$

(follows from (7)). In an analogue way one can express  $m_{2,3}^3, m_{3,2}^3, m_{3,3}^3$  by equations with entries  $m_{i,j}$  such that  $\{i, j\} \cap \{1, 4\} \neq \emptyset$ . Note that for all other entries  $m_{i,j}$  of  $r(M)$  such that  $\{i, j\} \cap \{1, 4\} \neq \emptyset$  the power  $m_{i,j}^3$  satisfies some equation in terms of

$$m_{1,1} = a^3, m_{1,4} = b^3, m_{4,1} = c^3, m_{4,4} = d^3$$

(compare (7)). Due to these facts, one finds enough equations such that the Zariski closure  $\overline{r(\mathrm{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))}$  of the group  $r(\mathrm{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))(\mathbb{Q})$  has at most dimension 4. Since  $\det(r(M)) = \det^6(M)$ , the set on the right hand site of the inequality

$$G^0 \subseteq \overline{(r(\mathrm{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q}))) \cap \mathrm{SL}(\mathrm{Sym}^3 H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))^0}$$

is a proper Zariski closed subset of  $\overline{r(\mathrm{GL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{Q})))}^0$ . Thus one concludes that

$$\dim G \leq 3.$$

□

Note that the Hodge structure of  $\mathcal{C}_{(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)}$  is given by the tensor product  $H^1(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, \mathbb{Q})^{\otimes 3}$ . Thus the associated representation of  $S^1$  is given by  $Kr^3 \circ h_\lambda$ , where  $h_\lambda$  denotes the Hodge structure of  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$ . Therefore the sub-Hodge structure on  $\mathrm{Sym}^3(H^1(\mathcal{E}_\lambda, \mathbb{Q}))$  is given by

$$h' = r \circ h_\lambda.$$

One concludes  $h'(S^1) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ , since  $h_\lambda(S^1) \subset \mathrm{SL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{R}))$  and  $r$  yields a homomorphism  $\mathrm{SL}(H^1(E, \mathbb{R})) \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** *The variation  $\mathcal{V}$  of Hodge structures is of third type.*

*Proof.* Since  $h'(S^1) \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the conjugation by  $h'(i)$  yields a Cartan involution of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Thus  $G$  is reductive. Since  $\dim G \leq 3$ , this group is not only reductive, but simple. This follows from the fact that the smallest simple Lie algebras have dimension 3 and  $G$  is clearly not commutative. Therefore the center of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  is discrete the associated hermitian symmetric domain is  $\mathbb{B}_1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{V}$  is of third type. □

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was written at the Graduiertenkolleg “Analysis, Geometry and Stringtheory” at Leibniz Universität Hannover. I would like to thank Klaus Hulek for his interest and his comments, which helped to improve this text. Moreover I would like to thank Martin Möller for a fruitful discussion and Eckart Viehweg for the hint to [13], which helped to find a Shimura curve with a Calabi-Yau like  $VHS$  of third type.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Borcea, C.: Calabi-Yau threefolds and complex multiplication. Essays on mirror manifolds. Internat. Press, Hong Kong (1992) 489-502.
- [2] Bryant, R., Griffiths, P.: Some Observations on the Infinitesimal Period Relations for Regular Threefolds with Trivial Canonical Bundle. In: Arithmetic and Geometry **II**, Progress in Mathematics **36**, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Stuttgart (1983) 77-102.
- [3] Deligne, P.: Travaux de Shimura. In: Séminaire Bourbaki, **389** (1970/71), Lecture Notes in Mathematics **244**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1971) 123-165.
- [4] Deligne, P.: Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques. In: Automorphic forms, representations and  $L$ -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **XXXIII**, AMS, Providence, R.I. (1979) 247-289.
- [5] Doran, C., Morgan, J.: Mirror Symmetry and Integral Variations of Hodge Structure. Stud. in adv. Math. **38** (2006) 517-538.
- [6] Helgason, S.: Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **34**, AMS (2001) Providence, RI.

- [7] Milne, J. S.: Introduction to Shimura Varieties. (2004) *preprint*.
- [8] Morrison, D.: Compactifications of moduli spaces inspired by mirror symmetry. Journées de géométrie algébrique d'Orsay, Astérisque **218** (1993) 243-271.
- [9] Moonen, B.: Linearity properties of Shimura varieties. Part I, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998) 539-567.
- [10] Rohde, J. C.: Cyclic coverings, Calabi-Yau manifolds and Complex multiplication. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1975**, Springer-Verlag (2009) Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [11] Rohde, J. C.: Maximal automorphisms of Calabi-Yau manifolds versus maximally unipotent monodromy. (2009) arXiv:0902.4529v2 *to appear in manuscripta mathematica*.
- [12] Satake, I.: Algebraic structures of symmetric domains. Kanô Memorial Lectures **4**, Iwanami Shoten, Princeton University Press (1980) USA.
- [13] Viehweg, E., Zuo K.: Families over curves with a strictly maximal Higgs field. Asian J. Math. **7** (2003) 575-598.
- [14] Voisin, C.: Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe. Cours spécialisés **10**, SMF (2002) France.

GRK 1463 / INSTITUT FÜR ALGEBRAISCHE GEOMETRIE, LEIBNIZ UNIVERSITÄT HANNOVER, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* rohde@math.uni-hannover.de